

Interest on borrowed funds utilised to grant loan to subsidiary not allowed due to lack of commercial expediency: Bangalore ITAT

17 September 2021



Summary

The **Bangalore bench of Income Tax Appellate Tribunal (ITAT)**, in a recent case¹, has held that payment of interest by the taxpayer on borrowed funds utilised to provide loans to subsidiary engaged in a different line of business is not allowable as a deduction² due to lack of commercial expediency.

Facts of the case

- The taxpayer is engaged in the business of land development and construction. The taxpayer transferred an amount of INR 6.9 crore and INR 7.1 crore to its subsidiaries Davanam Constructions Sdn Bhd, Malaysia (DCSB) and Amethyst Hospitality (P) Ltd. (AHPL), respectively.
- DCSB was engaged in the same line of business as the taxpayer. However, AHPL was engaged in the hospitality business.
- Out of INR 7.1 crore transferred to AHPL, INR 1.9 crore was for investment and the remaining INR 5.22 crore was given as an interest-free advance for its business.
- The proportionate financial cost (INR 40,46,607) on interest-free advances given to AHPL (i.e. on INR 5.22 crore) was disallowed by the tax officer³.
- The taxpayer filed an appeal against the tax officer's order before the Commissioner Appeals [CIT(A)]. The CIT(A) upheld the order of the tax officer. While arriving at the conclusion, the CIT(A) also observed that there was an increase in loans and fixed assets in the books of the taxpayer and, therefore, it could not be said that it was having sufficient interest-free funds available with it.
- The taxpayer challenged the order before the ITAT.

Tax department's arguments

- The tax department contended that cost of funds given to DCSB was allowed, as it is in

¹ Davanam Constructions Private Limited [TS-851-ITAT-2021(Bang)]

² under Section 36 (1)(iii) read with Section 37 of the Income-tax Act 1961 (the Act)

³ under section 36(1)(iii) read with Section 37 of the Act

the same line of business and there is some commercial expediency in advancing the amount to it. However, the same is not true for AHPL since it is engaged in an unrelated line of business and, accordingly, there is no commercial expediency in advancing loan to it.

- It was further argued that the taxpayer has not derived any business advantage by advancing such a huge amount to AHPL. Accordingly, interest payment on the said borrowings cannot be allowed as a business expenditure.

Taxpayer's arguments

- The taxpayer contended that the borrowings were specifically utilised for the purpose of business⁴.
- The loan was advanced to AHPL basis the principle of commercial expediency and the same was utilised by AHPL for its business operations. The transaction was in the nature of shareholders activity or stewardship activities.

ITAT's observation and order

- The ITAT noted that the Supreme Court ruling in case of S.A. Builders⁵ could not be

applied in the instant case, as the taxpayer was not able to establish any commercial expediency for advancing of such interest-free loan to AHPL.

The Supreme Court in the case of S.A. Builders had explained the term 'commercial expediency' to mean something which leads to business advancement, leads to preservation of business expenditure, is not personal in nature and there is a nexus between the grant of interest-free loan and business interest.

The purpose for which the loan has been granted is relevant and not the source from which the fund has been obtained.

- In the instant case, it was observed that the taxpayer and AHPL were in different lines of business and further, post receiving the loan, AHPL used the same funds to make advances to its related parties from year-to-year and such loan received was not at all used for business purposes.
- Accordingly, ITAT upheld the decision of CIT(A) to disallow finance cost on funds utilised for providing interest-free loan to AHPL.

⁴ as required u/s 36(1)(iii) of the Act

⁵ S.A. Builders v. CIT [2007] 288 ITR 1 (SC)

Our comments

The Supreme Court judgement in case of S.A. Builders (supra) clarified that the main criterion for deciding the allowability of interest cost on funds given to subsidiaries as loan would be commercial expediency.

However, this ruling by Bangalore ITAT further clarifies that loan granted to a subsidiary engaged in a different line of business cannot be considered within the ambit of commercial expediency.

Contact us

To know more, please visit www.grantthornton.in or contact any of our offices as mentioned below:

NEW DELHI

National Office
Outer Circle
L 41 Connaught Circus,
New Delhi 110 001
T +91 11 4278 7070

NEW DELHI

6th floor,
Worldmark 2, Aerocity,
New Delhi – 110 037
T +91 11 4952 7400

BENGALURU

5th Floor, 65/2, Block A,
Bagmane Tridib,
Bagmane Tech Park,
C V Raman Nagar,
Bengaluru – 560 093
T+91 80 4243 0700

CHANDIGARH

B-406A, 4th Floor, L&T
Elante office Building
Industrial area, Phase-I,
Chandigarh 160 002
T +91 172 4338 000

CHENNAI

7th Floor, Prestige
Polygon 471, Anna
Salai, Teynampet
Chennai - 600 018
T +91 44 4294 0000

DEHRADUN

Suite No 2211, 2nd Floor
Building 2000
Michigan Avenue, Doon
Express Business Park,
Subhash Nagar, Dehradun
248 002
T +91 135 264 6500

GURGAON

21st Floor DLF Square
Jacaranda Marg,
DLF Phase II,
Gurgaon 122 002
T +91 124 462 8000

HYDERABAD

7th Floor, Block III
White House Kundan
Bagh, Begumpet
Hyderabad 500 016
T +91 40 6630 8200

KOCHI

7th Floor, Modayil Centre
Point, Warriam Road
Junction, MG Road,
Kochi 682 016
T +91 484 406 4541

KOLKATA

10C Hungerford Street
5th Floor,
Kolkata 700 017
T +91 33 4050 8000

MUMBAI

11th Floor, Tower II
One International Centre
SB Marg, Prabhadevi (W)
Mumbai 400 013
T +91 22 6626 2600

MUMBAI

Kaledonia, 1st Floor,
C Wing (Opposite J&J
office) Sahar Road,
Andheri East,
Mumbai - 400 069
T +91 22 6176 7800

NOIDA

Plot No. 19A,
2nd Floor Sector – 16A,
Noida 201 301
T +91 120 4855 900

PUNE

3rd Floor,
Unit No 309 to 312, West
Wing, Nyati Unitree
Nagar Road, Yerwada
Pune- 411 006
T +91 20 6744 8800

For more information or for any queries, write to us at contact@in.gt.com



Follow us @GrantThorntonIN

© 2021 Grant Thornton Bharat LLP. All rights reserved.

“Grant Thornton Bharat” means Grant Thornton Advisory Private Limited, the sole member firm of Grant Thornton International Limited (UK) in India, and those legal entities which are its related parties as defined by the Companies Act, 2013, including Grant Thornton Bharat LLP.

Grant Thornton Bharat LLP, formerly Grant Thornton India LLP, is registered with limited liability with identity number AAA-7677 and has its registered office at L-41 Connaught Circus, New Delhi, 110001.

References to Grant Thornton are to Grant Thornton International Ltd. (Grant Thornton International) or its member firms. Grant Thornton International and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered independently by the member firms.